

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MAX RUHLMANN, et al.,) Case No. 2:14-cv-00879-RFB-NJK
Plaintiff(s),)
vs.)
GLENN RUDOLFSKY,) ORDER
Defendant(s).) (Docket No. 91)

Pending before the Court is an amended joint proposed discovery plan, filed on August 10, 2016. Docket No. 91. On June 2, 2016, the Court entered a scheduling order in this matter. Docket No. 75. The parties' present filing essentially asks the Court to extend certain deadlines set forth in that scheduling order. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 91 at 2.

A scheduling order may be modified only for good cause and with the Court's consent. Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(b)(4). Requests to modify the Court's scheduling order must include: (1) a statement specifying the discovery completed; (2) a specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed; (3) the reasons why the remaining discovery was not completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and (4) a proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery. *See* LR 26-4.

11

11

11

The parties' amended joint proposed discovery plan fails to comply with the requirements of Local Rule 26-4. Accordingly, it is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 11, 2016

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge